

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/802,160	-	03/17/2004	Jennifer Stone-Sundberg	1035-BI4309	4149
34456	7590	06/30/2006		EXAMINER	
LARSON	NEWMA	N ABEL	SPEER, TIMOTHY M		
POLANSKY 5914 WEST		ΓΕ, LLP YARD DRIVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 200			1775		
AUSTIN, 1	TX 78730)		DATE MAILED: 06/30/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	I American State						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/802,160	STONE-SUNDBERG ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Timothy M. Speer	1775					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA .136(a). In no event, however, may a rep d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH te, cause the application to become ABAI	ATION. by be timely filed AS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07	November 2005.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdres 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 17 March 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 10.	a) \square accepted or b) \square object of a complete drawing(s) be held in abeyance ction is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	nts have been received. nts have been received in Apporting documents have been read (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)	_						
1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/0€; 08/0€; 66/05; 04/05; 0	3) 5) Notice of Info	Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/802,160

Art Unit: 1775

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statements filed 11/07/05, 08/10/05, 06/17/05, 04/07/05, 01/28/05 and 03/17/04 have been considered and made of record. Copies of the 1449s associated with these IDSs initialed, dated and signed by the Examiner are included herewith.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-24 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 of U.S. Patent No. 7,045,223. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the present claims completely encompass the patented claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/802,160

Art Unit: 1775

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Page 3

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on

sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cullen (USPN

3,763,158).

Cullen teaches a single crystal spinel material of MgO:Al₂O₃, wherein the molar ratio of

the magnesia and alumina is 1:3.2 (col. 2, lines 21-40). Regarding claims 2-8 and 18-21, since

the material of Cullen is the same as that presently claimed, it is the Examiner's position that the

prior art materials will inherently exhibit the presently claimed properties. With respect to

claims 22-24, these claims merely recite intended use and are not seen to distinguish over the

applied prior art.

6. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wang (USPN

4,370,739).

Wang discloses a single crystal spinel material MgO:Al₂O₃, wherein the molar ratio of

the magnesia and alumina is 1:1 to about 1:2.5 (col. 2, lines 21-40). Regarding claims 2-8 and

18-21, since the material of Cullen is the same as that presently claimed, it is the Examiner's

position that the prior art materials will inherently exhibit the presently claimed properties. With

respect to claims 22-24, these claims merely recite intended use and are not seen to distinguish

over the applied prior art.

Application/Control Number: 10/802,160

Art Unit: 1775

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy M. Speer whose telephone number is 571-272-8385. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jennifer McNeil can be reached on 571-272-1540. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Timothy M. Speer

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

0127/04

Page 4